Calabrian extra-virgin olive oil from Frantoio cultivar: chemical composition and health properties
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ABSTRACT

Extra virgin olive oil (EVOO) plays a crucial role in the Mediterranean diet. Recently, attention has been focused on presence in EVOO of phenolic compounds, phytochemicals characterized by a series of healthy properties. This paper analyzed the phenolic profile, the inhibitory activity against carbohydrate hydrolising enzyme as well as the radical scavenging activity of EVOO obtained from Olea europea L. cv. Frantoio. Samples derived from fruits collected in four different areas: Cariati, Vaccarizzo Albanese, Montalto Uffugo, and Praia a Mare. The phenolic profile obtained by HPLC revealed the presence of hydroxytyrosol (3,4-DHPEA, between 1.2 and 5.3 mg/kg) and p-hydroxyphenylethanol or tyrosol (p-HPEA, between 1.1 and 5.4 mg/kg), as the main components. Secoiridoids and their derivatives were also found in high concentrations (3,4-DHPEA-EDA 50.3-98.4 mg/kg, p-HPEA-EDA 34.6-52.9 mg/kg). All samples showed carbohydrate-hydrolyzing enzymes inhibition. The most promising activity was observed with EVOO from Vaccarizzo Albanese (IC50 of 65.5 and 57.7 µg/ml against a-glucosidase and a-amylase, respectively). The same sample showed the highest antioxidant activity of 45.3 and 56.3 µg/ml against DPPH and ABTS radicals, respectively. This sample was richest in phenols. In conclusion, EVOO has high-level bioactive compounds and a promising antioxidant and hypoglycemic activity.
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INTRODUCTION

Extra Virgin Olive Oil (EVOO) plays a crucial role in the Mediterranean diet since it is a major source of fat. For its nutritional properties EVOO consumption has increased significantly in recent years (EEC, 2003). Italy is the second world producer with a percentage of 26%. EVOO is obtained exclusively by physical methods from the fruit of Olea europea L. Italy is the second largest EVOO producer in the Europe (EU) after Spain and accounts for approximately one-quarter of the EU-28 olive acreage. In Italy, about 600 Olea europea cultivars were grown and several cultivars are cultivated in Calabria Region (Giuffrè et al., 2014a; 2014b; Muzzalupo et al., 2014; Sicari et al., 2009; Giuffrè et al., 2010; Sicari et al., 2010). Among them, there is Frantoio cv. This cultivar which is widely terranean area and in the Italian territory (Loudj and Giuffrè, 2010; Pandolfi et al., 2017; Veneziani et al., 2018). This variety of olive presents a rather vigorous fructification and, at the same time very abundant in terms of quantity, regular, but late. EVOO derived from Frantoio cv is characterized by vegetal and pungent notes (Alowaiesh et al., 2016; Bajoub et al., 2016). The biometric evaluation of Frantoio olive cultivar was recently reported by Giuffrè (2017). Frantoio cv was characterized by high flesh dry weight but at the same time low moisture content.

EVOO chemical and organoleptic properties are affected by several factors including agronomical, environmental, genetic, and technological factors (Inarejos-Garcia et al., 2009; Morello et al., 2006; Servili et al., 2007; Olivares-Lopez et al., 2007). EVOO nutritional properties are mainly attributed to its composition which consists in a high percentage of monounsaturated acids (C18:1, between 55-83%), minor components (alcohols, sterols and hydrocarbons) and particularly phenolic antioxidants (Consleg, 2015; COI, 2015). These minor compounds, recently, have received a great attention by scientist (Loizzo et al., 2009; Servili et al., 2013; Sicari, 2017). According to EFSA (2011), a consumption of EVOO phenols (5 mg/day) is able to protect from several chronic disease. Moreover,
EVOO phenolic compounds have a series of healthy effects including influence on glucose metabolism (Servili et al., 2013; Santangelo et al., 2016).

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder in which there is a hyperglycaemic condition over a prolonged period (IDF, 2017). This disease will reach pandemic proportion in the next twenty years. International Diabetes Federation estimated that in 2025, DM affects 371 million people. The chronic hyperglycaemia is the consequence of a deficiency of insulin secretion from pancreatic β-cells (DM type 1) or insulin resistance in target tissues (DM type 2) (idf, 2017). The strictly linkage between ROS and metabolic disorder was recently demonstrated (Tangvarasittichai 2015). For this reason, EVOO phenolic compounds could be useful in the management of this disorder for two-fold reasons: antioxidant and hypoglycaemic agents.

Hydroxytyrosol and oleuropein, two of the main EVOO phenolic compounds are responsible of the bitter and pungent taste, have powerful health properties. The phenolic profile of EVOO differs according to the cultivar and during the shelf life.

The aim of this work was the evaluation of Calabrian EVOO (Italy) in terms of 1) their quality parameters (peroxide value and free acidity), 2) fatty acids methyl esters composition, 3) HPLC phenolic profile, 4) in vitro hypoglycaemic activity, and 5) radicals scavenging potential.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Standards, reagents and solvents**

3,4-Dihydroxyphenylacetic acid, apigenin, β-hydroxyphenyl ethanol, fatty acid methyl esters standard, luteolin, vanillic acid, vanillin, were purchased from Sigma (Milan, Italy). All solvents used were analytical or HPLC grade (VWR, Milan Italy).

**Samples**

Olives cultivated in different area of Cosenza province (Calabria, Italy) harvested in autumn 2015 were collected and used to prepare extra virgin olive oils (EVOO). In particular, after cleaning, fruits were grinding into a paste by a hammer crusher. The malaxation of the olive paste (30 min) was done in a horizontal mixer successively a three-phase decanter was applied. The oil was centrifuged and filtrated. Samples were stored at 10 °C in dark without headspace up to analysis. Table 1 reported the cultivar and area of cultivation.

**Determination of legal quality parameters**

The peroxide value and free acidity were determined according to the EEC 1991 standard methods.

**Fatty acid methyl esters analysis by gas chromatography**

Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) from the oil samples were obtained as previously described (Christie, 1998). The FAMEs were identified by their retention times in comparison with an authentic standard mix containing the FAMEs from C10 to C22.

**EVOO Phenolic fraction**

EVOO Phenolic fraction was obtained following Montedoro et al. (1992) procedure and then dried by using a rotary evaporation. Samples for spectrophotometric and HPLC analysis were resuspended in methanol.

**High performance liquid chromatography of phenols**

The qualitative and quantitative characterization of the phenolic compounds was performed using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a diode array detector (DAD) using the procedure described by Boselli et al., (2007). All phenolic compounds were quantified using a calibration curve obtained with 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid ($r^2 = 0.998$), whereas flavones were quantified with quercetin ($r^2 = 0.998$). For structural elucidation, the HPLC system was coupled online to an LCQ ion-trap mass spectrometer (Thermoquest, San José, CA, USA) equipped with an electrospray ionization source suitable for tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). The LC effluent was split and 0.1 ml/min entered the mass spectrometer through a steel ionization needle set at 4 kV and a heated capillary set at 200 °C. The sheath gas flow was approximately 70 arbitrary units. Tandem mass experiments were performed with relative collision energy of 30–40%. All of the data were acquired with Excalibur software Version 1.2 (Thermoquest, San José, CA, USA).

**Inhibition of carbohydrate-hydrolyzing enzymes**

The α-amylase inhibitory activity was studied following the previously reported method (Loizzo et al. (2008). Briefly, a starch solution was prepared by mixing potato starch in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer with 6.7 mM sodium chloride while enzyme solution by mixing α-amylase (10 units/mg) in 100 ml of distilled cold water. The colorimetric reagent was obtained by mixing a potassium tartrate solution and a 96 mM solution of 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid.

**Table 1: EVOO from O. europea cv Frantoio samples (growing area; latitude and longitude)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cariati</td>
<td>39°29’35”52”N</td>
<td>16°57’36”72”E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vaccarizzo Albanese</td>
<td>39°35’11”04”N</td>
<td>16°26’1”32”E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Montalto Uffugo</td>
<td>39°24’20”88”N</td>
<td>16°93’1”68”E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Praia a mare</td>
<td>39°54’6”84”N</td>
<td>15°46’48”36”E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maltose production was quantified by the reduction of 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid to acid 3 amino-5-nitrosalicylic. The inhibition of α-amylase was expressed as a percentage of inhibition.

For the α-glucosidase inhibition test the modified Sigma-Aldrich procedure was applied (Loizzo et al., 2008). Briefly, a malt solution was prepared, enzyme solution of α-glucosidase (10 units/mg) in 10 ml of distilled water, a colorimetric solution of DIAN, whereas the PGO enzyme color reagent solution was freshly prepared by dissolving one capsule in 100 ml of ice-cold distilled water. In the first step, both the control and the extracts were combined with the maltose solution. The reaction started with the addition of the enzyme solution; subsequently, perchloric acid (4.2% w/v) was added to block the reaction. In the second step, glucose production was quantified by DIAN reduction, the supernatant was mixed with DIAN and PGO and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The inhibition of α-glucosidase was expressed as a percentage of inhibition. Acalbose was used as positive control in both assays.

**Radical scavenging activity**

The radical scavenging activity was investigated by 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assay and 2,2′-azinobis (3-ethylbenzotiazolin-6-sulfonic acid) ABTS assay. For DPPH analysis the procedure previously reported was applied Loizzo et al. (2015). The DPPH test is based on measurement of the scavenging capacity of antioxidants towards DPPH radical. A decrease in absorbance of the DPPH solution indicates an increase in the radical DPPH scavenging activities. Ascorbic acid was used as positive control. The ABTS test was performed on the procedure described by Sicari et al. (2016) with minor modifications. ABTS⁺ radical cation was obtained by reaction of ABTS to potassium persulfate. After 12 h the solution was diluted with ethanol to reach an absorbance of 0.70-0.05 to 734 nm; 25 µL of different concentration of extracts was added and the absorbance was measured.

**Relative Antioxidant Capacity Index (RACI) calculation**

RACI is a statistical application used to evaluate the antioxidant capacity of samples (Sun et al., 2007). The standard score is calculated as follows: \( (x-\mu)/\sigma \), where \( x \) is the raw data, \( \mu \) is the mean, and \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation.

**Global Antioxidant Score (GAS)**

For each EVOO extract, the average of T-scores was used to calculate the value of Global Antioxidant Score (GAS). T-score is calculated by the following equation: \( T - \text{score} = \frac{(X - \text{min})}{(\text{max} - \text{min})} \), where min and max, respectively, represent the smallest and largest values of variable X among the investigated extract (Leeuw et al., 2014).

**Statistical analysis**

All data were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) \((n=3)\). The inhibitory concentration 50% (IC50) was calculated by nonlinear with the use of Prism Graphpad Prism version 4.0 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA. Differences were evaluated by ANOVA test followed by multicomparison Dunnett’s test. The concentration-response curve was obtained by plotting the percentage of inhibition versus the concentrations.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Quality parameters**

Calabrian EVOO peroxide levels ranged from 3.6 to 6.3 meq O₂/kg of oil for samples coming from Praia a mare and Cariati while free acidity values ranged from 0.2 to 0.4 g oleic acid/100 g oil for Vaccarizzo Albanese and Montalto Uffugo, respectively while the (Table 2). The data obtained indicated that all the analyzed oil samples are “extra virgin” according to the EC 2568/91 regulation. A lower acidity values were found for EVOO derived from Frantoio cv while similar values were recorded in Leccino, Moraiolo, Taggiasca and Pendolino cv. EVOO from Frantoio cv grown in Veneto Region (Italy) showed a similar peroxide value of 3.4 meq O₂/kg oil (Lavelli et al. 2005). EVOO from Coratina cv typical of Puglia Region (Italy) showed a peroxide value of 4.93 meq O₂/kg and acidity value of 0.25% (Gambacorta et al. 2010).

**EVOO total phenols content**

Phenolic compounds characterized by antioxidant properties are very important to preserve EVOO quality. As it is possible to see in Table 2 the total phenols content ranged from 94.6 to 256 mg gallic acid/kg oil for samples coming from Praia a mare and Cariati while free acidity values ranged from 0.2 to 0.4 g oleic acid/100 g oil for Vaccarizzo Albanese and Montalto Uffugo, respectively. Our results are in line with those reported by Loizzo et al. (2009) for EVOO from Campania region (Italy). A higher value was found in EVOO from Bosana cv (Sardinia, Italy) (Del Caro et al., 2006).

**Fatty acid methyl ester profile**

All samples presented a high content of oleic acid (C18:1) with values ranging from 72.7% to 75.5% for Vaccarizzo Albanese and Cariati EVOO, respectively. Oleic acid contributed to most of the total concentration of monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA) (Table 3). Among saturated fatty acid (SFA) palmitic acid (C16:0) showed the highest value with percentages of 13.0, 12.9, 11.8, 11.9% for Cariati, Vaccarizzo Albanese, Montalto Uffugo and Praia a mare respectively while linoleic acid (C18:2) was the most abundant polyunsaturated fatty acid.
Table 2: Calabrian extra-virgin olive oil from Frantoio cultivar quality parameters, total phenols, and the Rancimat time. *g oleic acid in 100 g of oil; *meq O₂/kg oil; *mg of gallic acid/kg of oil; *hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free acidity</td>
<td>0.3±0.0</td>
<td>0.2±0.0</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxide value</td>
<td>6.3±0.9</td>
<td>6.3±0.9</td>
<td>6.2±0.6</td>
<td>3.6±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phenols</td>
<td>204.0±5.5</td>
<td>256.0±28</td>
<td>94.8±1.5</td>
<td>168.0±6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rancimat time</td>
<td>26.5±1.1</td>
<td>27.8±1.5</td>
<td>13.1±1.1</td>
<td>17.8±1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Fatty acids composition per cent of Calabrian extra-virgin olive oil from Frantoio cultivar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cn: m</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C16:0</td>
<td>13.0±1.5</td>
<td>12.9±1.7</td>
<td>11.8±1.4</td>
<td>11.9±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16:1</td>
<td>0.7±0.1</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
<td>0.9±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17:0</td>
<td>0.2±0.0</td>
<td>0.1±0.0</td>
<td>0.2±0.0</td>
<td>0.2±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17:1</td>
<td>0.3±0.0</td>
<td>0.3±0.0</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.3±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:0</td>
<td>1.9±0.9</td>
<td>2.6±0.9</td>
<td>2.3±0.8</td>
<td>2.5±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:1</td>
<td>75.5±5.6</td>
<td>72.7±3.5</td>
<td>75.1±3.7</td>
<td>73.9±5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:2</td>
<td>6.2±1.1</td>
<td>7.7±0.9</td>
<td>7.4±1.1</td>
<td>8.2±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20:0</td>
<td>0.4±0.4</td>
<td>0.7±0.0</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20:1+C18:3</td>
<td>0.8±0.5</td>
<td>0.8±0.0</td>
<td>0.7±0.0</td>
<td>0.7±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20:2</td>
<td>1.0±0.0</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>0.9±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C22:0</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>0.1±0.0</td>
<td>0.1±0.0</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:1/C18:2</td>
<td>12.2±1.7</td>
<td>9.4±1.0</td>
<td>10.1±1.2</td>
<td>9.0±1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carbohydrate-hydrolysing enzymes inhibitory activity of Calabrian EVOO

The inhibition of carbohydrate hydrolysing enzymes is a common strategy to treat DM (Loizzo et al., 2017). All extracts exhibited a concentration-dependent activity against these enzymes. In particular, the most promising activity was observed for sample 2 with IC_{50} values of 57.7 and 65.5 µg/ml for α-amylase and α-glucosidase respectively (Table 5). The hypoglycaemic effect of Calabrian samples was higher than those reported for Campania region (Loizzo et al., 2009). Recently, the strong hypoglycaemic effect of Spanish EVOO extract derived from Arbequina, Picual, Cuquillo, Cornicabra, and Hojiblanca was demonstrated (Collado-González et al., 2017). The relationship between carbohydrate-hydrolysing enzyme inhibition and phenolic content was proved by several research articles. In particular, hydroxytyrosol is a potent inhibitor of α-glucosidase (IC_{50} value of 150 µM) (Hdrich et al., 2015). This value was lower than acarbose (200 µM). Previously, Loizzo et al. (2009) reported luteolin carbohydrate hydrolysing inhibitory activity with IC_{50} values of 0.36 mM and 21 µM against α-amylase and α-glucosidase. More recently, Zeng et al. (2016) demonstrated the ability to apigenin to act as α-glucosidase reversible inhibitor (IC_{50} value of 10.5 µM) as well as tyrosol (IC_{50} value of 70.8 µM) (Chandramohan et al., 2015). Several different mechanisms of action could contribute to the hypoglycaemic activity of the EVOO and for this reason should be considered. For example, this phenylethanoid orally administered in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats protect from the altered glycoprotein components since it reduces plasma glucose level and glycosylated hemoglobin and increases...
insulin secretion by β-cells (Chandramohan et al., 2015). The induction of GLUT-4 translocation by luteolin was also demonstrated (Li et al., 2007; Berger et al. 1989; Sivitz et al., 1989). A positive effect in type 2 diabetes model was observed also with apigenin although with a different mechanism that determined a reduction of oxidative stress and inflammation (Ren et al., 2016).

Radicals scavenging activity

The radical scavenging activity properties of EVOO samples were examined using DPPH and ABTS test. Data are reported in Table 6. ABTS+ and DPPH radicals have a different stereochemistry and a different training mechanism and therefore, after reaction with antioxidants, they give a qualitatively different response to the inactivation of their radical (Antolovich et al., 2002).

All samples showed concentration-dependent antioxidant effects (Table 6). The most promising scavenging capacity was observed with EVOO extract from Vaccarizzo Albanese with IC₅₀ values of 45.3 and 56.3 µg/ml respectively for DPPH and ABTS test followed by Cariati sample (IC₅₀ values of 67.4 and 61.6 µg/ml respectively for DPPH and ABTS test).

Based on RACI and GAS the following antioxidant rank of order has been found: Vaccarizzo Albanese EVOO > Cariati EVOO > Praia a Mare EVOO > Montalto Uffugo EVOO. The antioxidant potential of EVOO phenolic extract assessed by different in vitro methods were largely described in literature (Del Caro et al., 2006; Lavelli et al., 2005; Katsoyannos et al., 2015).

CONCLUSIONS

EVOO is recognized as one of the condiment mostly consumed in the Mediterranean area for its role in preventing several diseases including diabetes. Recently, there is a great interest in EVOO minor abundant compounds and in particular to phenolic compounds for their healthy properties. Calabrian EVOOs obtained by Q. europea Frantoio cv are rich in phenolic compounds and in unsaturated fatty acids. In particular, the most promising health properties was observed for extract derived by EVOO from Vaccarizzo Albanese. In conclusion, the consumption of EVOO from Frantoio cv present should be recommended not only for its high quality but also for its healthy properties.
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