REVIEW ARTICLE

Gluten-free bread from ingredients and nutrition point of view: A Mini-Review

Marcell Toth*, Gyula Vatai, Andras Koris

Szent Istvn University, Mnesi St. 44, 1118 Budapest, Hungary

ABSTRACT

Consistent gluten consumption leads to one of the most prevalent immune-mediated disorders i.e. celiac disease (CD). Gluten derived from wheat, rye and barley exhibit antigenic properties that may promote immune reactions in some receptive individuals. Hence, a lifelong gluten-free diet (GFD) is being recommended as the best therapeutic treatment. Nevertheless, several research fonts have revealed that gluten-free (GF) products play a vital role in the diet, but bakery goods, especially gluten-free bread (GFB) indicate technological challenges and demonstrate sensory, nutritional and quality imbalances. To overcome these issues, numerous investigations such as raw materials or processing technologies are being carried out. To the best of our knowledge, to date no permanent solution has been evolved for replacing the gluten completely, though the combination of certain ingredients, additives and technologies are providing promising results, keeping the door open for further improvements. This review aims to collect recent information on approaches, improvements and still existing gaps in GF bread making.

Keywords: Gluten; Nutrition; Ingredient; Disorder; Diet

INTRODUCTION

The demand of gluten-free products (GFP) is gradually increasing for 2 main reasons i.e. increased number of consumers having celiac disease (CD), Non-Celiac Gluten sensitivity (NCGS) or wheat allergy (WA) switching to GFD, and because of the public belief that GFP is healthier (Ortiz, 2017; Hartmann, 2018). This trend has a stronger effect on the increase of GF consumer goods since the number of people participating in this trend is growing faster than the number of those who are following the diet due to the proven medical reasons. It has been forecasted that global sales have increased by 10.4% per annum between 2015 and 2020 (Topper, 2018).

Gluten, a prolamin protein is mostly found in wheat (gliadin), barley (hordein), rye (secalin) and in their crossbred grains along with oats (Capriles and Arêas, 2014). Therefore, the only effective treatment for CD, NCGS or WA is to adapt GFD lifelong and avoid gluten-containing cereals and cross-contaminated products (Ortiz, 2017).

In Europe, 20 mg/kg of gluten (EU 828/2014) is considered as GF; however, the average gluten intake in

a Western diet is around 5-20 g/day. It can be noted that the labeling of cross-contamination is not mandatory and clearly defined; therefore, adherence to the gluten-free diet implies consumption of the products having gluten-free statements and/or claim, defined by the respective nations worldwide (Biesiekierski, 2017).

A consumer survey study revealed that the consumers are satisfied with the quality of GF bland, sweets, and pasta, but still, a significant improvement is needed in GFB and cakes to meet the consumers' expectations (Roman, 2019;Ozola, 2014 and Potter, 2014). However, due to the lack of gluten, the GF alternatives are inferior to the gluten-containing products concerning quality, functional and sensory properties. Besides, when it comes to GFP, they differ from the normal diet in every aspect including price. For example, the texture of the GF bread crumb is of poor quality and becomes dry and stale soon. During raw material (water, yeast, GF flour, starches, additives) mixing and baking the dough is not able to retain the air and carbon dioxide from yeast fermentation or forms irregular cells, which results in low volume, quality and texture defects (Capriles, 2014). The colour of the crumb is

*Corresponding author:

Marcell Toth, Szent Istvn University, Mnesi St. 44, 1118 Budapest, Hungary. E-mail: toth.marcell.laszlo@etk.szie.hu

Received: 08 June 2020; Accepted: 11 September 2020

pale, there is a strong aftertaste, the viscosity and rheology characteristics of the bread dough do not meet those of wheat flour dough, and the price of gluten-free products and ingredients are generally high (Arendt, 2002; Pacynski, 2002; Morreale, 2018; Fry, 2018 and Missbach, 2015). The lack of gluten end in a liquid batter instead of dough, which results in bread with a crumbling texture, poor colour and post-baking quality defects (Hager, 2012).

From a nutritional point of view GFP— which is often based on rice - have lower protein content and are deficient in lysine. The glycaemic index of different rice breads was also reported to be high ranging from 87 to 93. The carbohydrate and fat content of GFB is high while as the protein content is low, causing imbalanced condition (Haupt-Jorgensen, 2018). The folate, iron and dietary fibre content of GFP were also found to be at lower level versus their gluten-containing counterparts (Alvarez-Jubete, 2009;Hager, 2012). In this review, we aim to provide a conspectus on raw materials such as starches, pseudocereals, hydrocolloids and protein supplements which are studied to improve the quality and nutritional gaps of GFP by definite procedures. (Vici, 2016; Nardo, 2019)

GLUTEN-FREE BREAD PRODUCTS: INGREDIENTS AND NUTRITIONAL ASPECTS

Starches

Retaining the air in the bread crumbs, extending the shelf life and improving the mouthfeel is still challenging in the case of GF bread. However, significant achievements can be obtained with complex mixtures of starches, pseudocereals, protein extracts, hydrocolloids and fibres followed by various technologies i.e. fermentation, enzymes, aeration (Capriles, 2014; Roman, 2019). Currently available products on the market are still not compatible with the gluten-containing counterparts neither in taste nor in texture (Melini, 2019).

The most commonly used gluten-free flours and/or starches in the industry for experimentation purposes are maize and rice, due to cost-effective and hypoallergenic nature, blind taste, and white colour but has some technical limitations due to its poor performance with functional proteins (Mancebo, 2015; Hager, 2012). Therefore, rice flour is mixed with different starches such as corn, tapioca, potato, cassava, sorghum, millet or GF wheat starch for better results (Mancebo, 2015; Kim, 2015; Kang, 2015). These starches show high variability in morphology, gelatinization behaviour, and viscosity yielding resulting major impact on specific volume, hardness, elasticity, and chewiness of bread crumbs (Khoury, 2018; Ziobro, 2012;

Horstmann, 2016). The addition of 20-30% potato starch to rice flour improved the viscosity parameters, uniform air cells, colour, and delayed starch retrogradation and overall sensory acceptability (Kim, 2015). Cassava starch might help to keep the air bubbles inside the dough due to its elastic and high viscosity properties, which develops better crumb characteristics (Onyango, 2012).

Increased level of rapidly digestible starches (RDS) obtained from corn and/or rice in GFB leads to high GI and consequently faster increase of blood glucose and insulin levels (Haupt-Jorgensen, 2018; Lamacchia; 2014). It adds to the disadvantages since the CD has been associated with type 1 Diabetes mellitus (Haupt-Jorgensen, 2018). To overcome this imbalance, usage of the slowly digestible starches (SDS) and resistant starches (RS) was studied. The partial replacement of corn starch with corn and tapioca RS showed an increase in storage stability, elastic texture, soft crumb characteristics, and total dietary fibre content (Korus, 2009). This strategy was beneficial since it proved to be a better way of replacing wheat flour. Even though starch from wheat flour guarantees better viscosity and texture, the usage of tapioca RS and corn had an improved effect on the nutritional value of GF bread (Ogungbenle, 2003).

PSEUDOCEREALS

Among pseudocereals, mainly buckwheat, amaranth, quinoa and teff are in the focus of researches. These grains and flours are a great source of fibres, minerals (calcium, magnesium, iron, zinc, potassium, and copper), polyphenol compounds, and vitamins (riboflavin, niacin, thiamine, pyridoxine, and ascorbic acid) (Collar, 2014; Nascimento, 2014; Gallardo, 2006). The protein concentrates of amaranth and buckwheat are able to lower the serum and hepatic cholesterol as well as triglycerides levels (Escudero, 2006; Tomotake, 2006). A study conducted by Kim et al. (2006) showed some health benefits of amaranth such as hyperglycaemia as it was able to decrease the serum glucose level and increase serum insulin levels in diabetic rats (Kim, 2006).

The usage of pseudocereals also adds value to the nutrition level, significantly softer crumb and higher loaf volume (Alvarez-Jubete, 2010; Wronkowska, 2010 and Wronkowska, 2008). The rice flour-based experiments resulted in good rheology with up to 40-50% buckwheat flour (Wronkowska, 2013; Torbica, 2010). According to Lemos et al. (2012), the preferred amount of amaranth flour is 10% while the addition of 10% of quinoa flour improved bread volume by 7.4% and enhanced the appearance without compromising the taste (Lemos, 2012; Föste, 2014).

Pseudocereals are recommended as an alternative to traditional ingredients for bakery products to lower the GI (Berti, 2004). In contrast, pasta made from 100% teff flour was characterized with the lower in-vitro GI level compared to the white wheat bread (Giuberti, 2016). A study conducted by Wolter et al. (2013) reported that GFB made from 100% buckwheat, quinoa, sorghum and teff were classified as high in-vitro GI food (Wolter, 2013). Based on the data available, pseudocereals can significantly improve the vitamins, minerals, fibre and protein levels, but not always the GI level.

Despite the nutritional and technological benefit of those grains, the usage is limited due to their strong effect on taste, aroma, colour and relatively high cost. High dosage of buckwheat, teff, and quinoa or amaranth flour in bread formulation presents inadequate sensory attributes such as dark-coloured crumb, thick, dark and dry crust, strong smell, taste and flavour (Alvarez-Jubete, 2009).

The studies also revealed the difference between unhusked and husked buckwheat used in GF bread formulations. Adding 10-30% unhusked buckwheat decreased the flavour and taste profile acceptance, while the same amount of husked buckwheat flour presented high acceptance in taste, high volume and better texture (Torbica, 2010; Yang, 2008).

It is essential to highlight the effect of proteins in buckwheat which might lead to an allergic reaction (Alvarez-Jubete, 2009; Heffler; 2011). Buckwheat is estimated to be the causative allergen in between 3-3.5% of all anaphylaxis in South Korea and Japan (Imamura, 2008). Reports also show emerging buckwheat allergy in Italy and France (Heffler, 2011; Beaudoin, 2007).

NON-GLUTEN PROTEINS AND HYDROCOLLOIDS

Protein supplements like milk, soy, lupine, egg and pea protein have been frequently used in GFB formulations and showed effective anti-stalling, structure-forming impact increased water absorption ability and viscoelastic properties of the dough (Sahagún, 2018; Marco, 2008). Due to the higher water retention effect, the addition of more water to the GFB dough is demanded. It should be noted that egg protein increases the elasticity of the crumb and helps in developing a finer cell texture (Sahagún, 2018).

The presence of pea and lupine preparations improved the sensory parameters, providing more acceptable colour and smell, while soy showed a decreased consumer's scores. The addition of protein hardened the bread and in enthalpy of retrograded amylopectin, during bread storage (Horstmann, 2017 and Ziobro, 2016).

The colour and textural properties of bread crumb and loaves are highly affected by the proteins. Mostly, dairy proteins are effective in enhancing Maillard's reaction and colour even in small doses (Krupa-Kozak, 2013). GFB samples with soy protein showed significantly darker crumb and crust (Taghdir, 2017).

Despite their technological advantages, it is important to emphasize that milk, egg, soy, and lupine proteins are allergens. This increases the complexity of allergen handling during food production for people having a CD with joined milk, egg, soy, or lupine allergy.

The impact of different hydrocolloids on the characteristics of dough and bread quality is highly dependent on the raw materials, nature and quantity of the hydrocolloids (Mir, 2006). Therefore, their usage is also complex and not unified. Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC), sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (NaCMC), guar gum or xanthan also might have a positive influence on the rheological properties, volume, crumb hardness, and/or texture increasing the water retention, stabilizing emulsions, foams, and suspensions (Nicolae, 2006; Hager, 2013; Nie, 2016).

The integration of oats and hydrocolloids has a tendency of boosting the bread volume. For instance, a mixture of xanthan gum, potato flour, and apple pectin resulted in a massive increase in bread volume (Lazaridou, 2007). However, it was noted that the increase in size leads to the softening of the whole bread. In this perspective, it is important to note that this type of hydrocolloid works perfectly with corn and soybean starch. Also, the introduction of HPMC and guar gum in corn starch resulted in increased elasticity of dough and the general softness of the bread. Therefore, the addition of non-gluten products needs to be done in measurable quantities for better results. Other types of starch like dextrin, cationic and amphoteric can also be used with hydrocolloids.

From nutrition perspective, hydrocolloids are beneficial. Cellulose, psyllium and guar gum enhances digestion and absorption. It prolongs the mouth to cecum transit time, delays gastric emptying, slows down the increase in postprandial glycaemia and provides benefits to the colonic function (Fratelli, 2018).

The positive effects of dietary fibres like β -glucan, inulin, oligofructose, apple fibre, and psyllium fibre are still known. They comprise the higher intakes of dietary fibre that

reduces the risk of developing several chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and some cancers, and are associated with lower body weights (Dahl, 2015). From the food technology perspective, they provide water binding, increased viscosity, and gel-forming capacities in bread formulations (Fratelli, 2018). The higher amount of psyllium fibre might have the laxative effect due to its bulking effect as it expands and forms a gel-like mass in the colon (Mishra, 2014).

CONCLUSION

Over the last decades, the general awareness and medical knowledge about CD, NCGS or WA have substantially developed. Although the usage of glutenfree ingredients and processing techniques are emerging rapidly, the nutritional properties, quality and sensory properties of gluten-free bread are still incomparable to the gluten-containing standards. To the best of our knowledge, there is no solution discovered yet which can replace the gluten completely. The combination of certain ingredients, additives and technologies are providing promising results, keeping the door open for further improvements but it is difficult to define the best formulation as there are a lot of positive results, but on different recipes, raw materials and final products. Therefore, the new GF formulations should also focus on the raw material price and testing with a large number of consumers.

Data availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Disclosure of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Funding statement

The project is partially funded by the European Union and co-funded by the European Social Fund project no. EFOP-3.6.3-VEKOP-16-2017-00005.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support of the Doctoral School of Food Sciences at Szent István University (Budapest, Hungary).

Author contribution

Marcell Toth has contributed to the literature review, reference selection, and preparing manuscript. Gyula Vatai contributed in literature review, and journal selection.

Andras Koris has helped in literature review, reference selection, and manuscript review.

REFERENCES

- Alvarez-Jubete, L., E. K. Arendt and Gallagher, E. 2009. Nutritive value and chemical composition of pseudocereals as gluten-free ingredients. Int. J. Food. Sci. Nutr. 60: 240-257.
- Alvarez-Jubete, L., E. K. Arendt and E. Gallagher. 2010. Nutritive value of pseudocereals and their increasing use as functional glutenfree ingredients. Trends. Int. Food. Sci. Technol. 21: 106-113.
- Arendt, E. K., C. M. O'Brien, T. J. Schobert and T. R. Gormley. 2002. Development of gluten-free cereal products. Farm. Food. 2002: 21-27.
- Beaudoin, E., P. Sergeant, J. Flabbee, M. Morisset and G. Kanny. 2007. Buckwheat allergy: Analysis of 22 cases recorded by the allergy vigilance network (2002-2006). Eur. Ann. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 39: 303-306.
- Berti, C., P. Riso, L. D. Monti and M. Porrini. 2004. *In vitro* starch digestibility and *in vivo* glucose response of gluten-free foods and their gluten counterparts. Eur. J. Nutr. 43: 198-204.
- Biesiekierski, J. 2017. What is gluten? J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 32: 78-81.
- Capriles, V. D. and J. A. Arêas. 2014. Novel approaches in glutenfree breadmaking: Interface between food science, nutrition, and health. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Safe. 5: 871-890.
- Collar, C. and A. Angioloni. 2014. Pseudocereals and teff in complex breadmaking matrices. Impact on lipid dynamic. J. Cer. Sci. 59: 145-154.
- Dahl, W. J. and M. L. Stewart. 2015. Position of the academy of nutrition and dietetics: Health implications of dietary fiber. J. Acad. Nutr. Diet. 115: 1861-1870.
- Escudero, N. L., F. Zirulnik, N. N. Gomez, S. I. Mucciarelli and M. S. Giménez. 2006. Influence of a protein concentrate from *Amaranthus cruentus* seeds on lipid metabolism. Exp. Biol. Med. 231: 50-59.
- Föste, M., S. D. Nordlohne and D. Elgeti. 2014. Impact of quinoa bran on gluten-free dough and bread characteristics. Eur. Food. Res. Technol. 239: 767-775.
- Fratelli, C., D. G. Muniz, F. G. Santos and V. D. Capriles. 2018. Modelling the effects of psyllium and water in gluten-free bread: An approach to improve the bread quality and glycemic response. J. Funct. Foods. 42: 339-345.
- Fry, L., A. M. Madden and R. Fallaize. 2018. An investigation into the nutritional composition and cost of gluten-free versus regular food products in the UK. J. Hum. Nutr. Diet. 31: 108-120.
- Gallardo, C., L. Jimenez and M. T. Garcia-Cones. 2006. Hydroxycinnamic acid composition and *in vitro* antioxidant activity of selected gran fractions. Food Chem. 99: 455-463.
- Giuberti, G., A. Gallo, L. Fiorentini, P. Fortunati and F. Masoero. 2016. In vitro starch digestibility and quality attributes of gluten free tagliatelle prepared with teff flour and increasing levels of a new developed bean cultivar. Starch Stärke. 68: 374-378.
- Hager, A. S. and E. K. Arendt. 2013. Influence of hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC), xanthan gum and their combination on loaf specific volume, crumb hardness and crumb grain characteristics of gluten-free breads based on rice, maize, teff and buckwheat. Food Hydrocolloids. 32: 195-203.
- Hager, A. S., A. Wolter, F. Jacob, E. Zannini and E. K. Arendt. 2012. Nutritional properties and ultra-structure of commercial gluten free flours from different botanical sources compared to wheat

- flours. J. Cereal. Sci. 56: 239-247.
- Haupt-Jorgensen, M., L. J. Holm, K. Josefsen and K. Buschard. 2018. Possible prevention of diabetes with a gluten-free diet. Nutrients. 10: 1746-1766.
- Heffler, E., F. Nebiolo and R. Asero. 2011. Clinical manifestations, co-sensitizations, and immunoblotting profiles of buckwheat-allergic patients. Allergy. 66: 264-270.
- Horstmann, S. W., M. Foschia and E. K. Arendt. 2017. Correlation analysis of protein quality characteristics with gluten-free bread properties. Food Funct. 8: 2465-2474.
- Horstmann, W. W., C. E. Markus, H. Mareile, Z. Emanuele and K. A. Elke. 2016. Fundamental study on the impact of gluten-free starches on the quality of gluten-free model breads. Food. 5(2): 30.
- Imamura, T., Y. Kanagawa. and M. Ebisawa. 2008. A survey of patients with self-reported severe food allergies in Japan. Pediat. Allerg. Immu. 19: 270-274.
- Kang, T. Y., K. H. Sohn, M. R. Yoon, J. S. Lee and S. Ko. 2015. Effect of the shape of rice starch granules on flour characteristics and gluten-free bread quality. Int. J. Food. Sci. Technol. 50: 1743-1749.
- Khoury, E. D., S. Balfour-Ducharme and J. I. Joye. 2018. A review on the gluten-free diet: Technological and nutritional challenges. Nutrients. 10(10): 1410.
- Kim, H. K., M. J. Kim, H. Y. Cho, E. K. Kim and D. H. Shin. 2006. Antioxidative and anti-diabetic effects of amaranth (Amaranthuses culantus) in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. Cell. Biochem. Funct. 24: 195-199.
- Kim, M., Y. Yun and Y. Jeong. 2015. Effects of corn, potato and tapioca starches on the quality of gluten-free rice bread. Food Sci. Biotechnol. 24: 913-919.
- Korus, J., M. Witczak, R. Ziobro and L. Juszczak. 2009. The impact of resistant starch on gluten-free dough and bread. Food Hydrocolloids. 23: 988-995.
- Krupa-Kozak, U., N. Bączek and C. M. Rosell. 2013. Application of dairy proteins as technological and nutritional improvers of calciumsupplemented gluten-free bread. Nutrients. 5: 4503-4520.
- Lamacchia, C., A. Camarca, S. Picascia, A. Di Luccia and C. Gianfrani. 2014. Cereal-based gluten-free food: How to reconcile nutritional and technological properties of wheat proteins with safety for celiac disease patients. Nutrients. 6: 575-590.
- Lazaridou, A., D. Duta, M. Papageorgiou, N. Belc and C. G. Biliaderis. 2007. Effects of hydrocolloids on dough rheology and bread quality parameters in gluten-free formulations. J. Food. Eng. 79: 1033-1047.
- Lemos, A. D., V. D. Capriles and J. A. Arêas. 2012. Effect of incorporation of amaranth on the physical properties and nutritional value of cheese bread. Food Sci. Technol. 32: 427-431.
- Nie, S. P. and J. M. Li. 2016. The functional and nutritional aspects of hydrocolloids in foods. Food Hydrocolloids. 53: 46-61.
- Mancebo, C. M., C. Merino, M. M. Martínez and M. Gómez. 2015. Mixture design of rice flour, maize starch and wheat starch for optimization of gluten free bread quality. J. Food Sci. Technol. 52: 6323-6333.
- Marco, C. and C. M. Rosell. 2008. Functional and rheological properties of protein enriched gluten free composite flours. J. Food. Eng. 88: 94-103.
- Melini, V. and F. Melini. 2019. Gluten-free diet: Gaps and needs for a healthier diet. Nutrients. 11(1): 170.
- Mir, S. A., M. A. Shah, H. R. Naik and I. A. Zargar. 2016. Influence of hydrocolloids on dough handling and technological properties of gluten-free breads. Trends. Food Sci. Technol. 51: 49-57.

- Mishra, S., S. Sinha, K. P. Dey and G. Sen. 2014. Synthesis, characterization and applications of polymethylmethacrylate grafted psyllium as flocculant. Carbohydrate Polymers. 99: 462-468
- Missbach, B., L. Schwingshack and A. Billmann. 2015. Gluten-free food database: The nutritional quality and cost of packaged gluten-free foods. PeerJ. 3: e1337.
- Morreale, F., D. Angelino and N. Pellegrini. 2018. Designing a scorebased method for the evaluation of the nutritional quality of the gluten-free bakery products and their gluten-containing counterparts. Plant. Food Hum. Nutr. 73: 154-159.
- Nascimento, A. C., C. Mota and I. Coelho. 2014. Characterisation of nutrient profile of quinoa, amaranth and purple corn consumed in the North of Argentina: Proximates, minerals and trace elements. Food Chem. 148: 420-426.
- Nicolae, A., G. L. Radu and N. Belc. 2016. Effect of sodium carboxymethyl cellulose on gluten-free dough rheology. J. Food. Eng. 168: 16-19.
- Ogungbenle, H. N. 2003. Nutritional evaluation and functional properties of quinoa flour. Int. J. Food. Sci. Nutr. 54: 153-158.
- Onyango, C., C. Mutungi, G. Unbehend and M. G. Lindhauer. 2011. Modification of gluten-free sorghum batter and bread using maize, potato, cassava or rice starch. LWT-Food Sci. Technol. 44: 681-686.
- Ortiz, C., R. Valenzuela, Y. Lucero and B. Alvarez-Cuenllas. 2017. Celiac disease, non-celiac gluten sensitivity and wheat allergy: Comparison of 3 different diseases triggered by the same food. Rev. Chil. Pediatr. 88: 417-423.
- Ozola, L. and E. Straumite. 2014. Characteristic of gluten-free products: Latvian consumer survey. Int. J. Nutr. Food. Eng. 8: 1848-1852.
- Pacynski, M., Z. R. Wojtasiak and S. Mildner-Szkudlarz. 2002. Improving the aroma of gluten-free bread. LWT-Food Sci. Technol. 63: 706-713.
- Potter, R., V. Stojceska and A. Plunkett. 2014. An investigation of the consumer perception on the quality of the gluten and wheat free breads available on the UK market. J. Food Meas. Character. 8: 362-372.
- Roman, L., M. Belorio and M. Gomez. 2019. Gluten-free breads: The gap between research and commercial reality. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 18: 690-702.
- Sahagún, M. and M. Gómez. 2018. Assessing influence of protein source on characteristics of gluten-free breads optimising their hydration level. Food Bioproc. Technol. 11: 1686-1694.
- Taghdir, M., S. M. Mazloomi, N. Honar, M. Sepandi, M. Ashourpour. and M. Salehi. 2017. Effect of soy flour on nutritional, physicochemical, and sensory characteristics of gluten-free bread. Food Sci. Nutr. 5: 439-445.
- Tomotake, H., N. Yamamoto and N. Yanaka. 2006. High protein buckwheat flour suppresses hypercholesterolemia in rats and gallstone formation in mice by hypercholesterolemicdiet and body fat in rats because of its low protein digestibility. Nutrition. 22: 166-173.
- Topper, A. 2018. Non-celiacs Drive Gluten-Free Market Growth. Mintel, 2014. Available from: http://www.mintel.com/blog/food-market-news/gluten-free-consumption-trends. [Last accessed on 2018 Nov 20].
- Torbica, A., M. Hadnađev and T. Dapčević. 2010. Rheological, textural and sensory properties of gluten-free bread formulations based on rice and buckwheat flour. Food Hydrocolloids. 24: 626-632.
- Wolter, A., A. S. Hager, E. Zannini and E. K. Arendt. 2013. *In vitro* starch digestibility and predicted glycaemic indexes of buckwheat, oat,

- quinoa, sorghum, teff and commercial gluten-free bread. J. Cer. Sci. 58: 431-436.
- Wronkowska, M., M. Haros and M. Soral-Śmietana. 2013. Effect of starch substitution by buckwheat flour on gluten-free bread quality. Food Biopro. Technol. 6: 1820-1827.
- Wronkowska, M., A. Troszynska, M. Soral-Smietana and A. Wolejszo. 2008. Effects of buckwheat flour *Fagopyrume sculentum* Moench on the quality of gluten-free bread. Pol. Food Nutr. Sci. 58: 211-216.
- Wronkowska, M., D. Zielińska, D. Szawara-Nowak, A. Troszyńska and M. Soral-Śmietana. 2010. Antioxidative and reducing capacity, macroelements content and sensorial properties of buckwheatenhanced gluten-free bread. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 45: 1993-2000.
- Yang, M. S., S. H. Lee, and T. W. Kim. 2008. Epidemiologic and clinical features of anaphylaxis in Korea. Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol. 100: 31-36.

- Ziobro, R., J. Korus, M. Witczak and L. Juszczak. 2012. Influence of modified starches on properties of gluten-free dough and bread. Part II: Quality and staling of gluten-free bread. Food. Hydrocolloids. 29: 68-74.
- Ziobro, R., J. Korus, M. Witczak and L. Juszczak. 2016. Non-gluten proteins as structure forming agents in gluten free bread. J. Food Sci. Technol. 53: 571-580.
- Di Nardo, G., M. P. Villa, L. Conti, G. Ranucci, C. Pacchiarotti, L. Principessa, and P. Parisi. 2019. Nutritional deficiencies in children with celiac disease resulting from a gluten-free diet: A systematic review. Nutrients. 11(7): 1588.
- Hartmann, C., S. Hieke, C. Taper and M. Siegrist. 2018. European consumer healthiness evaluation of free-from labelled food products. Food. Qual. Prefer. 68: 377-388.
- Vici, G., L. Belli, M. Biondi and V. Polzonetti. 2016. Gluten free diet and nutrient deficiencies: A review. Clin. Nutr. 35(6): 1236-1241.